



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2002

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,929		09/05/2000	Ryota Akiyama	1046.1100RE	7584	
21171	7590	02/07/2002				
STAAS &			EXAM	EXAMINER		
700 11TH S SUITE 500	,			DIXON, TE	DIXON, THOMAS A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2161		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/654,929	AKIYAMA ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas A. Dixon	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	·				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the main CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 1-7.						
Claim(s) objected to:		a de de la companya d				
Claim(s) rejected: 8-22.	JAME OUDED/400	S P. TRAMMELL RY PATENT EXAMINER				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	TECHNOL	OGY CENTER 2100				
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
B						

Gogitinuation of 2. NOTE:

The new limitation of one-way communication added to the claim would require further consideration and/or a new search.